| **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary** | **Application No.** 10/040,964 | **Applicant(s)** MANFREDI ET AL. |
| | **Examiner** David A. Lambertson | **Art Unit** 1636 |

All Participants:

(1) *David A. Lambertson, Ph.D.*

(2) *Andrew Gibbs.*

Status of Application: _____

(3) *Jay Zhang.*

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *26 April 2004*

Time: *4 pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC § 112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:
67, 72-75 and 92

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding a possible amendment to claims 67, 72-75 and 92 to obviate the rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph. With regard to the Examiner's Amendment concerning the rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, it was agreed that the claims are being allowed with the understanding that there is a dynamic to molecular binding. For the sole purpose of advancing the prosecution to allowance, and without acquiescing to the correctness of the Office's position on any of the rejections, Applicant's representative then approved the attached Examiner's amendment..